



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	MED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,724		01/17/2002	Isa	o Noda	884	40	8627
27752	7590	01/21/2004				EXAM	INER
		GAMBLE CON OPERTY DIVIS				ACQUAH, S	SAMUEL A
WINTON H	ILL TEC	HNICAL CENTI			ARTU	INIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENT					171	11	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		w-
· - 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/051,724	NODA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this come	SAMUEL A. ACQUAH	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL* THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after 51X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above he maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tit within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da iffl apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This	action is non-final.	
 Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E 	ice except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		*
Application Papers	election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	ntodorb)□ abit-t-t	* *
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	pred or b) objected to by the E	examiner.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is abi	o/ UFK 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language proving the province of the service o	have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been receiver (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not receiver priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) sentence of the specification or instance of the specification of the specificatio	on No d in this National Stage d. (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (F 5) Notice of Informal Pal 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office DL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Office Actic	- 0	

Application/Control Number: 10/051,724

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

 The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/09/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See the explanations below.
- 3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by WO 96/08535.

The disclosures of the cited prior art are as indicated in the previous Office Action. It is Applicants' position that the cited prior art "does not teach or suggest the use of the specific PHA copolymers recited in claim 1....Importantly, Applicants have discovered that the newer type of PHAs claimed in the present invention have superior properties compared to the conventional PHAs disclosed in the WO 96/08535 reference. In particular, the PHAs claimed herein can be melt processed at much lower temperatures than that of conventional PHAs and thus are less susceptible to thermal degradation during processing".

In essence, it is the Applicants' position that the PHA claimed are different from those disclosed by the prior art. Applicants argue that the claimed PHAs are different because they have lower melt processing temperatures. However, the claims do not recited melt processing temperatures, and the PHA copolymers claimed herein include PHBV, which are also disclosed by the cited prior art as typical of the PHA copolymers contemplated. Thus, it is the Examiner's position that Applicants' arguments do not distinguish over the PHAs disclosed by the cited prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,724

Art Unit: 1711

4. Claims 1, 3-6, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP 10147653.

The disclosures of this prior art is as indicated in the previous action. Applicants' arguments are as indicated above. Again, it is the Examiner's position that Applicants have not distinguished over the PHAs disclosed by the prior art.

5. Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EPA 0,753,539.

Applicants' arguments have been noted, said arguments are the same as indicated above. For the explanations indicated above, Applicants' arguments do not distinguish over the cited prior art.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1711

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. ACQUAH whose telephone number is 703-308-2436. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, FRIDAYS OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAMES SEIDLECK can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0661.

S.A.A. 12/30/03

SAMUELA, ACQUAH PRIMARY EMANDIER